Inorganic Chemistry

Iridium and Ruthenium Catalyzed Syntheses, Hydroborations, and Metathesis Reactions of Alkenyl-Decaboranes

Shahana Chatterjee, Patrick J. Carroll, and Larry G. Sneddon*

Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsyl[van](#page-11-0)ia 19104-6323, United States

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-11-0)STRACT: [The selective](#page-11-0) syntheses of new classes of 6,9 dialkenyl- and 6-alkenyl-decaboranes and 6-alkyl-9-alkenyl-decaboranes have been achieved via iridium and ruthenium catalyzed decaborane and 6-alkyl-decaborane alkyne-hydroborations. Reactions employing $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ and $[RuCl₂(p-cymene)]₂$ precatalysts gave β -E-alkenyl-decaboranes, while the corresponding reactions with $[Rul_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ gave the α -alkenyl-decaborane isomers, with the differences in product selectivity suggesting quite different mechanistic steps for the catalysts. The alkenyl-decaboranes were easily converted to other useful derivatives, including coupled-cage and functionally substituted compounds, via iridium-catalyzed hydroborations and ruthenium-catalyzed homo and cross olefinmetathesis reactions.

■ INTRODUCTION

We have previously demonstrated that transition metal complexes can be employed to catalyze polyborane hydroborations of both acetylenes and olefins to yield their alkenylor alkyl-substituted derivatives.^{1,2} For decaborane, we found that platinum-catalyzed decaborane-olefin hydroborations employing $H_2PtCl_{\underline{6}}$ and $PtBr_2$ precatalysts produce 6,9dialkyl-decaboranes,2b while corresponding titanium-catalyzed reactions employing $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Ti}(\text{CO})_2$ selectively form monosubstituted 6-[alk](#page-11-0)yl-decaboranes.^{2d,e} Metal-catalyzed decaborane alkyne-hydroborations could provide high yield routes to synthetically useful alkenyl-decabo[rane](#page-11-0) derivatives; however, only recently have we been successful in finding catalysts for such reactions.¹ In this paper, we report that $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ and $[RuX_2(p\text{-cymene})]$ ₂ (X = Cl or I) complexes can be employed to catalyze the [h](#page-11-0)ydroboration reactions of terminal alkynes with both decaborane and 6-alkyl-decaboranes to give, depending upon the catalyst, catalyst loadings and reaction times, either the β-E or α-olefin isomers of the 6,9-dialkenyl or 6-alkenyldecaboranes and 6-alkyl-9-alkenyl-decaboranes, respectively. Furthermore, we demonstrate that these alkenyl-decaboranes can be readily converted to other useful derivatives, including coupled-cage and functionally substituted compounds, with the aid of either iridium-catalyzed hydroboration or rutheniumcatalyzed homo and cross olefin-metathesis reactions.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Unless otherwise noted, all reactions and manipulations were performed in dry glassware under nitrogen or argon atmospheres using the high-vacuum or inert-atmosphere techniques described by Shriver and Drezdzon.³

Materials. $[Cp*IrCl₂]₂$, $[RuX₂(p-cymene)]₂$ (X = Cl or I), $(\eta^5$ - $(C_5H_5)_2Ti(CO)_2$, $[RuI_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ $[RuI_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ $[RuI_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ and Grubbs' II catalyst (1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-2-imidazolidinylidene]dichloro- (phenylmethylene)(tricyclohexylphosphine)ruthenium) were used as received (Strem). The 1-octyne, 4-octyne, phenylacetylene, trimethylsilylacetylene, allyltrimethylsilane, 1-pentene, 6-bromo-1-hexene, allylchloride (Aldrich), 5-chloro-1-pentyne, 4-bromo-1-butyne, 1,3-diethynylbenzene, 1-penten-4-yne (GFS Chemicals), and 3-cyclohexylpropyne (Alfa-Aesar) were dried over $CaH₂$ and vacuum transferred prior to use. Decaborane (Callery) was freshly sublimed before use. Acetylene gas was obtained from Airgas and was purified by passage through a sulfuric acid bubbler, Drierite and KOH-pellets before use. The 6-{ (CH_3) ₃Si(CH₂)₃}-B₁₀H₁₃ and 6-{CH₃(CH₂)₄}-B₁₀H₁₃ were prepared according to the literature method.^{2d,e} Reaction solvents (Fisher) were dried using standard procedures. Other solvents (Fisher) were used as received unless otherwi[se n](#page-11-0)oted. Prior to use, silica gel (Fisher) was saturated with acetic acid vapors⁴ for 12 h in an evacuated desiccator at room temperature, followed by vacuum drying at 120 °C for 5 h. The high pressure reaction vessel [\(8](#page-11-0)8 mL, catalog no. 110207 0003, Andrew's Glass Company) used for the acetylene reactions was fitted with a stainless steel needle Swagelok valve (part no. SS-ORM2).

Physical Measurements. ¹H NMR spectra at 400.1 and 500 MHz and 11B NMR spectra at 128.4 and 160.5 MHz were obtained on Bruker DMX 400 and DRX 500 spectrometers, respectively. All ¹¹B NMR chemical shifts are referenced to external BF_3 ·OEt₂ (0.00 ppm) with a negative sign indicating an upfield shift. All $1\overline{H}$ NMR chemical shifts were measured relative to residual protons in the lock solvents and are referenced to $Me₄Si$ (0.00 ppm). High and low-resolution mass spectra (HRMS and LRMS) using negative chemical ionization (NCI) techniques were recorded on a Micromass Autospec Spectrometer. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR spectrometer using KBr salt plates. Elemental analyses were performed at Robertson Microlit Laboratories, Madison,

Received: June 1, 2013 Published: July 16, 2013

NJ, or at the Microanalytical Facility at UC Berkeley, California. Melting points were obtained on a standard melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.

Control Experiments. ^{11}B NMR analyses of the following decaborane-alkyne mixtures showed no reaction. 1-Octyne. A 100 mL round bottomed flask equipped with a high-vacuum Teflon stopcock was charged with 1-octyne (0.8 mL, 5.42 mmol) and decaborane (0.06 g, 0.49 mmol) and then stirred in an oil bath at 45 °C for 8 h. Trimethylsilylacetylene. Trimethylsilylacetylene (0.21 mL, 1.49 mmol), decaborane (0.06 g, 0.49 mmol), 45 °C, 3 h. Acetylene. A 88 mL high-pressure reaction vessel was charged with decaborane (0.12 g, 0.98 mmol), acetylene (∼250 mL, ∼3.11 atm, ∼10.5 mmol) and ∼4 mL of dry toluene and then stirred in an oil bath at 45 °C for 3 h.

Decaborane Alkyne-Hydroboration Reactions with $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂. 1-Octyne. A 100 mL round bottomed flask equipped with a high-vacuum Teflon stopcock was charged under an inert atmosphere with $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ (0.136 g, 0.17 mmol), 1-octyne (0.44 mL, 2.98 mmol), decaborane (0.120 g, 0.98 mmol) and ∼2 mL of dry toluene. After the reaction was stirred in an oil bath at 45 °C for 3 h, $11B$ NMR analysis showed complete conversion to 1, and the reaction was stopped and exposed to air. The reaction mixture was flash-filtered through a short silica gel column. Separation by silica gel column chromatography with hexanes as eluent gave 0.277 g (0.81 mmol, 83%) of 1.
6,9-(E-CH₃(CH₂)₅CH=CH)₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (1). Oil. Exact mass

6,9-(E-CH₃(CH₂)₅CH=CH)₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (1). Oil. Exact mass ¹²C₁₆¹H₄¹¹B₁₀: *m*/z calcd, 344.4217; measd, 344.4202. ¹¹B NMR $(C_6D_6$ ppm, J = Hz): 19.4 (s, 2, B6,9), 7.0 (d, 2, B1,3, J 138), -4.5 (d, 4, B5,7,8,10, J 154), −35.7 (d, 2, B2,4, J 151). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, ppm, J $=$ Hz): 6.35 (td, 2, $=$ CH, J 18, 6), 5.92 (d, 2, $=$ CH, J 18), 2.14 (m, 4, CH₂), 1.37 (m, 16, CH₂), 0.97 (t, 6, CH₃, J 7), -1.28 (br, s, 4, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 2958 (s), 2926 (vs), 2855 (s), 2571 (vs), 1629 (s), 1521 (w), 1466 (s), 1397 (m), 1379 (m), 1313 (w), 1261(s), 1197 (w), 1151 (m), 1128 (s), 1104 (s), 1017 (s), 994 (s), 960 (m), 903 (w), 866 (w), 800 (s), 759 (w), 723 (m), 706 (m), 682 (m), 664 (w), 637 (w).

Trimethylsilylacetylene. $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ (0.012 g, 0.015 mmol), trimethylsilylacetylene (0.21 mL, 1.49 mmol), decaborane (0.06 g, 0.49 mmol), 45 °C, 3 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Recrystallized from n-heptane. Yield: 90% (0.140 g, 0.44 mmol) of 2.

6,9-(E-(CH₃)₃SiCH= CH)₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (2). Solid. mp 185 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₃₄B₁₀Si₂: C, 37.69; H, 10.75. Found: C, 37.86; H, 10.48. Exact mass ${}^{12}C_{10}{}^{1}H_{34}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{28}Si_2$: m/z calcd, 320.3129; measd, 320.3140. ${}^{11}B$ NMR $(C_6D_6$ ppm, $J = Hz$): 19.8 (s, 2, B6,9), 8.0 (d, 2, B1,3, J 172), −3.6 (d, 4, B5,7,8,10, J 138), −35.2 (d, 2, B2,4, J 161). ¹ H NMR $(C_6D_6$ ppm, J = Hz): 6.87 (d, 2, = CH, J 21), 6.68 (d, 2, = CH, J 21), 0.11 (s, 18, $(CH_3)_3Si$), -1.37 (br, 4, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm⁻¹): 3055 (m), 2987 (m), 2959 (s), 2899 (m), 2686 (w), 2576 (vs), 2560 (vs), 2306 (w), 1972 (w), 1917 (w), 1888 (w), 1582 (w), 1505 (w), 1471 (m), 1417 (m), 1265 (s), 1249 (vs), 1202 (s), 1144 (w), 1111 (m), 1002 (s), 958 (w), 897 (m), 868 (s), 836 (vs), 797 (m), 736 (s), 704 (s).

Phenylacetylene. $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ (0.120 g, 0.15 mmol), phenylacetylene (0.17 mL, 1.55 mmol), decaborane (0.066 g, 0.54 mmol), 45 °C, 3 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Yield: 87% (0.155 g, 0.47 mmol) of 3.

6,9-(E-(C₆H₅–CH==CH)₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (3). Oil. Exact mass ¹²C₁₆¹H₂₆¹¹B₁₀: m/z calcd, 328.2965; measd, 328.3272. ¹¹B NMR (CD₂Cl₂, ppm, J = Hz): 20.6 (s, 2, B6,9), 7.1 (d, 2, B1,3, J 149), −4.0 (d, 4, B5,7,8,10, J 149), -35.2 (d, 2, B2,4, J 137). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, ppm, J = Hz): 7.59−7.32 (m, 10, -C₆H₅), 7.21 (d, 2, =CH, J 17), 6.76 (d, 2, =CH, J 19), −0.76 (br, 4, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 3025 (w), 2925 (w), 2569 (s), 1948 (w), 1887 (w), 1715 (w), 1614 (m), 1575 (w), 1494 (m), 1447 (m), 1393 (m), 1335 (m), 1277 (w), 1116 (m), 1073 (w), 977 (m), 899 (w), 837 (w), 747 (s), 689 (s).

4-Bromo-1-butyne. $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ (0.154 g, 0.193 mmol), 4-bromo-1-butyne (0.14 mL, 1.49 mmol), decaborane (0.06 g, 0.49 mmol), 45 °C, 3 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Recrystallized from npentane. Yield: 39% (0.073 g, 0.19 mmol) of 4.

6,9-(E-Br(CH₂)₂CH= CH ₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (4). Solid. Decomposed without melting. Exact mass ${}^{12}C_8{}^{1}H_{24}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{79}Br_2$: m/z calcd, 388.1175; measd, 388.1164. ¹¹B NMR (C_6D_6 ppm, $J = Hz$): 19.8 (s, 2, B6,9), 8.0 (d, 2, B1,3, J 141), −3.4 (d, 4, B5,7,8,10, J 149), −35.2 (d, 2, B2,4, J 156). ¹H NMR $(C_6D_6$ ppm, J = Hz): 5.98 (td, 2, = CH, J 18, 8), 5.71 (d, 2, = CH, J 17), 2.91 (t, 4, CH₂Br, J 7), 2.25 (m, 4, CH₂), -1.52 (br, 4, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 3203 (w), 3004 (w), 2963 (m), 2925 (w), 2853 (w), 2569 (vs), 1973 (w), 1919 (w), 1887 (w), 1718 (w), 1631 (s), 1527 (m), 1477 (m), 1433 (s), 1418 (s), 1335 (m), 1265 (s), 1254 (s), 1209 (s), 1152 (m), 1123 (s), 1074 (m), 1062 (m), 1041 (m), 995 (s), 982 (s), 906 (m), 838 (m), 706 (m), 681 (m), 640 (m).

5-Chloro-1-pentyne. $[Cp*IrCl₂]₂$ (0.110 g, 0.14 mmol), 4-chloro-1-pentyne (0.16 mL, 1.51 mmol), decaborane (0.06 g, 0.49 mmol), 45 °C, 3 h. Chromatography with hexanes. Yield: 37% (0.058 g, 0.18

mmol) of 5.
6,9-(E-Cl(CH₂)₃CH=CH)₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (5). Oil. Exact mass 6,9-(E-Cl(CH₂)₃CH= $CH)_{2}$ -B₁₀H₁₂ (5). Oil. Exact mass ¹²C₁₀¹H₂₈¹¹B₁₀³⁵Cl₂: *m*/z calcd, 328.2499; measd, 328.2492. ¹¹B NMR $(C_6D_6$, ppm, $J = Hz$): 20.1 (s, 2, B6,9), 7.8 (d, 2, B1,3, J 146), −3.6 (d, 4, B5,7,8,10, J 149), −35.2 (d, 2, B2,4, J 149). ¹ H NMR $(C_6D_6$ ppm J = Hz): 6.06 (td, 2, =CH, J 18, 7), 5.76 (d, 2, =CH, J 17), 3.11 (t, 4, CH₂Cl, J 7), 2.01 (m, 4, CH₂), 1.49 (m, 4, CH₂), -1.43 (br, 4, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 2959 (m), 2933 (m), 2864 (w), 2571 (m), 1717 (m), 1638 (m), 1441 (m), 1365 (m), 1288 (m), 1124 (m), 1074 (m), 996 (m), 799 (m), 724 (m), 651 (m).

Acetylene. A 88 mL high-pressure reaction vessel equipped with a Swagelok needle valve was charged under an inert atmosphere with $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ (0.160 g, 0.20 mmol), decaborane (0.234 g, 1.91 mmol), and ∼4 mL of dry toluene. A 500 mL flask equipped with a highvacuum Teflon stopcock was filled with purified acetylene at room temperature (∼6.22 atm, ∼20.1 mmol). The acetylene was then vacuum transferred to the liquid-nitrogen cooled high-pressure reaction vessel. After the reaction mixture was stirred in an oil bath at 45 °C for 3 h, it was recharged under inert atmosphere with additional $\left[\text{Cp*IrCl}_2\right]_2$ (0.158 g, 0.20 mmol) and acetylene (~20.1 mmol). After another 3 h stirring at 45 °C, another charge of $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ (0.160 g, 0.20 mmol) and acetylene (∼20.1 mmol) was added, and the reaction continued for 3 h more at 45 °C. The reaction was then stopped and the reaction mixture exposed to air. The ¹¹B NMR spectrum of the mixture showed complete conversion to 6. The reaction mixture was then flash-filtered through a short silica gel column. Separation by silica gel column chromatography with npentane as eluent gave 0.041 g $(0.24$ mmol, $13\%)$ of 6.

6,9-(CH₂=CH)₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (6). Exact mass ¹²C₄¹H₁₈¹¹B₁₀: *m*/z calcd, 176.2339; measd, 176.2321. ¹¹B NMR (C_6D_6 , ppm $\ddot{J} = Hz$): 19.8 (s, 2, B6,9), 8.3 (d, 2, B1,3, J 142), −3.1 (d, 4, B5,7,8,10, J 160), −35.2 (d, B2,4, J 160). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, ppm, J = Hz): 6.09 (m, 2H, = CH), 5.67 (m, 4H, =CH), −1.59 (br, 4, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm⁻¹): 3069 (w), 2965 (m), 2937 (m), 2878 (w), 2573 (vs), 1964 (w), 1910 (w), 1708 (w), 1608 (w), 1527 (m), 1478 (m), 1413 (s), 1285 (w), 1260 (w), 1141 (w), 1112 (m), 994 (vs), 955 (s), 900 (m), 831 (m), 770 (w), 722 (m), 706 (m), 681 (m).
Decaborane Alkyne-Hydroboration Reactions with $[RuCl₂(p-$

cymene)]₂. 1-Octyne. $[\text{RuCl}_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ (0.129 g, 0.21 mmol), 1octyne (0.88 mL, 5.97 mmol), decaborane (0.242 g, 1.98 mmol), 45 °C, 3 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Unreacted decaborane (0.033 g, 0.27 mmol) removed by sublimation. Yield: 8% (0.047 g, 0.14 mmol) of 1 and 42% (0.166 g, 0.71 mmol) of 7.

6-(E-CH₃(CH₂)₅CH=CH)-B₁₀H₁₃ (7). Oil. Exact mass ¹²C₈¹H₂₈¹¹B₁₀: m/z calcd, 234.3122; measd, 234.3138. ¹¹B NMR (C₆D₆, ppm, J = Hz): 21.9 (s, 1, B6), 9.3 (br, 2, B1,3), 8.0 (br, 1, B9), 0.4 (d, 2, B8,10, J 133), −5.5 (d, 2, B5,7, J 144), −32.9 (d, 1, B2, J 153), −38.7 (d, 1, B4, J 146). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , ppm, J = Hz): 6.27 (td, 1, = CH, J 18, 6), 5.84 (d, 1, = CH, J 18), 2.04 (m, 2, CH₂), 1.30 (m, 8, CH₂), 0.91 (t, 3, CH₃, J 6), -1.60 (br, s, 2, BHB), -2.56 (br, s, 2, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm⁻¹): 2956 (m), 2926 (s), 2855 (m), 2576 (s), 1628 (m), 1557 (w), 1498 (m), 1465 (m), 1276 (m), 1260 (m), 1129 (m), 1002 (s), 812 (w), 750 (s), 685 (w).
 Decaborane Alkyne-Hydroboration Reactions with [Rul₂(p-

cymene) \mathbf{I}_2 . 1-Octyne. $[\text{RuI}_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ (0.100 g, 0.10 mmol), 1-

octyne (0.88 mL, 5.97 mmol), decaborane (0.241 g, 1.97 mmol), 45 °C, 24 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Yield: 95% (0.639 g,

1.87 mmol) of 8.
 $6.9 - [H_2C = C{((CH_2)_5CH_3]}_2 - B_{10}H_{12}$ (8). Oil. Exact mass 6,9-[H₂C=C{(CH₂)₅CH₃}]₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (8). Oil. Exact mass
¹²C₁₆¹H₄₂¹¹B₁₀: *m*/z calcd, 344.4217; measd, 344.4228. ¹¹B NMR $(C_6D_6$ ppm, $J = Hz$): 21.2 (s, 2, B6,9), 8.2 (d, 2, B1,3, J 146), -3.9 (d, 4, B5,7,8,10, J 137), −35.5 (d, 2, B2,4, J 155). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, ppm, J $=$ Hz): 5.44 (s, 2, =CH₂), 5.42 (s, 2, =CH₂), 2.27 (t, 4, CH₂, J 8), 1.53 (m, 4, CH₂), 1.31 (m, 12, CH₂), 0.92 (t, 6, CH₃, J 7), -1.19 (br, s, 4, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 3061 (w), 2957 (s), 2927 (vs), 2856 (s), 2575 (vs), 1609 (w), 1523 (w), 1466 (s), 1410 (m), 1379 (m), 1241 (w), 1210 (w), 1101 (w), 1054 (w), 996 (s), 958 (m), 924 (s), 884 (m), 826 (m), 723 (m), 708 (m), 681 (m).

In a separate experiment: $[Rul_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ (0.027 g, 0.03 mmol), 1-octyne (0.15 mL, 1.02 mmol), decaborane (0.241 g, 1.97 mmol), 45 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$, 24 h. Unreacted decaborane was removed by sublimation (0.049 g, 0.40 mmol) followed by silica gel column chromatography with hexanes. Yield: 31% (0.112 g, 0.48 mmol) of 9 and 16% (0.084 g, 0.25 mmol) of 8.

6-[H₂C=C{(CH₂)₅CH₃}]-B₁₀H₁₃ (**9**). Oil. Exact mass ¹²C₈¹H₂₈¹¹B₁₀: m/z calcd, 234.3121; measd, 234.3093. ¹¹B NMR (C₆D₆, ppm, J = Hz): 20.6 (s, 1, B6), 8.4 (br, 2, B1,3), 7.1 (br, 1, B9), −1.3 (d, 2, B8,10, J 151), −6.3 (d, 2, B5,7, J 151), −34.9 (d, 1, B2, J 151), −39.9 (d, 1, B4, J 151). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 ppm, J = Hz): 5.43 (s, 1, = CH₂), 5.42 (s, $1, =CH_2$), 2.27 (t, 2, CH₂, J 8), 1.53 (m, 2, CH₂), 1.31 (m, 6, CH₂), 0.91 (t, 3, CH₃, J 7), −1.53 (s, 2, BHB), −2.60 (s, 2, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 3062 (w), 2957 (m), 2928 (s), 2856 (m), 2579 (vs), 1608 (w), 1553 (w), 1498 (m), 1457 (m), 1409 (m), 1377 (w), 1101 (m), 1053 (m), 1002 (s), 958 (m), 929 (m), 861 (m), 834 (m), 810 (m), 722 (m), 706 (m), 687 (m).

3-Cyclohexylpropyne. $[\text{RuI}_2(p\text{-cymene})_2]_2$ (0.100 g, 0.10 mmol), 3-cyclohexylpropyne (0.87 mL, 6.02 mmol), decaborane (0.241 g, 1.97 mmol), 45 °C, 21 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Recrystallized from n-heptane. Yield: 55% (0.395 g, 1.08 mmol) of 10. 6,9-[H₂C=C{CH₂(C₆H₁₁)}]₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (10). Solid. mp 78–80 °C. Anal. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{42}B_{10}$: C, 58.97; H, 11.55. Found: C, 58.82; H, 11.66. Exact mass ${}^{12}C_{18}{}^{1}H_{42}{}^{11}B_{10}$: *m/z* calcd, 368.4217; measd, 368.4237. ${}^{11}B$ NMR $(C_6D_6$, ppm, $J = Hz$): 21.1 (s, 2, B6,9), 8.2 (d, 2, B1,3, J 145), −3.8 (d, 4, B5,7,8,10, J 137), −35.4 (d, 2, B2,4, J 154). ¹ H NMR $(C_6D_6$, ppm, J = Hz): 5.47 (s, 2, =CH₂), 5.36 (s, 2, =CH₂), 2.17 (d, 4, CH₂, J 7), 1.77 (m, 4, CH₂), 1.67 (m, 8, CH₂), 1.21 (m, 6, CH₂), 0.86 ppm (m, 4, CH₂), −1.16 (br, 4, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm⁻¹): 3059 (w), 2922 (vs), 2851 (s), 2663 (w), 2570 (s), 1705 (w), 1607 (w), 1480 (w), 1448 (m), 1397 (m), 1328 (m), 1262 (m), 1216 (m), 1095 (m), 1019 (m), 997 (m), 964 (w), 925 (w), 891 (w), 843 (w), 822 (w), 805 (w), 736 (w), 708 (w).

4-Bromo-1-butyne. $[\text{RuI}_2(p\text{-cymene})_2]_2$ (0.137 g, 0.14 mmol), 4bromo-1-butyne (0.14 mL, 1.49 mmol), decaborane (0.06 g, 0.49 mmol), 45 °C, 24 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Yield: 67% (0.130 g, 0.33 mmol) of 11.

6,9-[H₂C=C(CH₂)₂Br]₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (11). Oil. Exact mass ¹²C₈¹H₂₄^{11B}₁₀⁷⁹Br₂: *m*/z calcd, 388.1175; measd, 388.1172. ¹¹B NMR $(C_6D_6$ ppm, $J = Hz$): 20.6 (s, 2, B6,9), 7.8 (d, 2, B1,3, J 150), -3.5 (d, 4, B5,7,8,10, J 154), −34.8 (d, 2, B2,4, J 156). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, ppm, J $=$ Hz): 5.50 (s, 2, $=$ CH₂), 5.23 (s, 2, $=$ CH₂), 3.07 (t, 4, CH₂Br, J 7), 2.46 (t, 4, CH₂, J 7), −1.19 (br, 4, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm^{−1}): 3008 (w), 2963 (m), 2569 (vs), 1716 (m), 1609 (w), 1523 (w), 1477 (m), 1431 (m), 1416 (m), 1325 (w), 1305 (w), 1288 (m), 1261 (m), 1210 (m), 1121 (w), 1055 (w), 995 (s), 958 (w), 934 (m), 830 (w), 707 (w), 682 (m).

5-Chloro-1-pentyne. $[\text{RuI}_2(p\text{-cymene})_2]_2$ (0.20 g, 0.20 mmol), 5chloro-1-pentyne (0.16 mL, 1.51 mmol), decaborane (0.064 g, 0.52 mmol), 45 °C, 24 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Yield: 90% (0.154 g, 0.47 mmol) of 12.

6,9-[H₂C=C(CH₂)₃Cl]₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (12). Oil. Exact mass ¹²C₁₀¹H₂¹¹B₁₀³⁵Cl₂: *m*/z calcd, 328.2499; measd, 328.2483. ¹¹B NMR $(C_6D_6$ ppm, $J = Hz$): 21.4 (s, 2, B6,9), 8.8 (d, 2, B1,3, J 151), −3.4 (d, 4, B5,7,8,10, J 151), −35.0 (d, 2, B2,4, J 157). ¹ H NMR $(C_6D_6$ ppm): 5.39 (s, 2, =CH₂), 5.30 (s, 2, =CH₂), 3.16 (t, 4, CH₂Cl, J 6), 2.26 (t, 4, CH₂, J 8), 1.70 (m, 4, CH₂), -1.34 (br, 4,

BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 3063 (w), 2994 (w), 2957 (m), 2938 (m), 2865 (w), 2573 (vs), 1911 (w, br), 1706 (w), 1630 (w), 1608 (w), 1527 (w), 1472 (w), 1442 (s), 1414 (m), 1354 (w), 1305 (w), 1292 (m), 1258 (w), 1196 (w), 1150 (w), 1138 (w), 1124 (w), 1107 (w), 1060 (w), 996 (s), 959 (w), 930 (m), 888 (w), 826 (w), 775 (w), 724 (m), 709 (w), 682 (m), 651 (m).

6-Alkyl-decaborane Alkyne-Hydroboration Reactions with $[Cp*IrCl₂]₂$. 6-{(CH₃)₃Si(CH₂)₃}-B₁₀H₁₃ and phenylacetylene. $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ (0.034 g, 0.04 mmol), phenylacetylene (0.07 mL, 0.64 mmol), $6\text{-}\{(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{Si}(\text{CH}_2)_3\}\text{-B}_{10}\text{H}_{13}$ (0.102 g, 0.43 mmol), 45 °C, 3 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Recrystallized from *n*-pentane. Yield: 77% (0.113 g, 0.33 mmol) of 13.

6-{(CH₃)₃Si(CH₂)₃}-9-(E-C₆H₅CH=CH)-B₁₀H₁₂ (13). Solid. mp 102− 104 °C. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₄H₃₄B₁₀Si₁: C, 49.66; H, 10.12. Found: C, 49.71; H, 10.31. Exact mass ${}^{12}C_{14}{}^{1}H_{34}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{28}Si_1$: *m/z* calcd, 340.3360; measd, 340.3373. ¹¹B NMR (CD₂Cl₂, ppm, J = Hz): 24.2 (s, 1, B6), 20.3 (s, 1, B9), 7.5 (d, 2, B1,3, J 144), −2.3 (d, 2, B5,7 or 8,10, J 151), −4.4 (d, 2, B5,7 or 8,10, J 170), −35.7 (d, 1, B2 or B4, J 137), −36.7 (d, 1, B2 or B4, J 119). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, ppm, J = Hz): 7.49–7.30 $(m, 5, -C_6H_5)$, 7.17 (d, 2, =CH, J 18), 6.71 (d, 2, =CH, J 8.8), 1.59 $(m, 2, CH₂)$, 1.45 $(m, 2, CH₂)$, 0.62 $(t, 2, CH₂)$, 0.01 $(s, 9, CH₃)$, −0.89 (br, 2, BHB), −1.41 (br, 2, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 3408 (m, br), 2952 (w), 2922 (w), 2570 (s), 1615 (m), 1576 (w), 1524 (w), 1495 (w), 1449 (w), 1408 (m), 1336 (w), 1248 (m), 1162 (w), 1116 (m), 998 (s), 976 (m), 906 (w), 861 (s), 836 (vs), 745 (m), 689 (s).

 $6\text{-}\{(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{Si}(\text{CH}_2)_3\}\text{-}B_{10}\text{H}_{13}$ and 1,3-diethynylbenzene. $[\text{Cp*IrCl}_2]_2$ (0.075 g, 0.094 mmol), 1,3-diethynylbenzene (0.2 mL, 1.50 mmol), 6- ${({\rm CH}_3)_3\rm Si({\rm CH}_2)_3\}$ -B₁₀H₁₃ (0.126 g, 0.53 mmol), 45 °C, 3 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Recrystallized from n-pentane. Yield: 32% (0.06 g, 0.17 mmol) of 14.

 $6-\{(CH_3)\}\$ Si(CH₂)₃}-9-{E-3-HC≡C−C₆H₄-1-CH=CH}-B₁₀H₁₂ (14). Solid. mp 100−104 °C. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₆H₃₄B₁₀Si₁: C, 52.99; H, 9.45. Found: C, 53.19; H, 9.09. Exact mass ${}^{12}C_{16}{}^{1}H_{34}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{28}Si_1$: m/z calcd, 364.3360; measd, 364.3340. ¹¹B NMR (CD₂Cl₂, ppm, $J = Hz$): 24.9 (s, 1, B6), 20.7 (s, 1, B9), 8.2 (d, 2, B1,3, J 145), −1.9 (d, 2, B5,7 or B8,10, J 181), −3.2 (d, 2, B5,7 or B8,10, J 168), −35.3 (d, 1, B2 or B4, J 161), -35.8 (d, 1, B2 or B4, J 150). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, ppm, J = Hz): 7.50 (m, 1, $-C_6H_4$), 7.47 (m, 1, $-C_6H_4$), 7.44 (m, 1, $-C_6H_4$), 7.35 $(m, 1, (C_6H_4), 7.13$ (d, 1, =CH, J 18), 6.74 (d, 1, =CH, J 18), 3.16 $(s, 1, CH)$, 1.61 (m, 2, CH₂), 0.88 (t, 2, CH₂, J 7), 0.64 (t, 2, CH₂, J 8), 0.00 (s, 9, CH2), −0.93 (br, 2, BHB), −1.40 (br, 2, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 3295 (w), 3197 (w), 2953 (w), 2568 (m), 1704 (w), 1616 (w), 1596 (w), 1574 (w), 1476 (m), 1412 (m), 1275 (w), 1249 (w), 1260 (w), 1191 (w), 1168 (w), 1116 (w), 997 (w), 861 (w), 835 (w), 791 (w), 764 (m), 750 (m), 704 (w), 693 (w).

6-{(CH₃)₃Si(CH₂)₃}-B₁₀H₁₃ and 1-Penten-4-yne. $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ (0.048 g, 0.052 mmol), 1-penten-4-yne (1 mL, ∼1 mmol), 6-{(CH3)3Si- $\text{C}(\text{CH}_2)_3$ }-B₁₀H₁₃ (0.121 g, 0.52 mmol), 45 °C, 3 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Yield: 67% (0.105 g, 0.35 mmol) of 15.

6-{(CH₃)₃Si(CH₂)₃}-9-{E-H₂C=CHCH₂-CH=CH}-B₁₀H₁₂ (15). Oil. Exact mass ${}^{12}C_{11}{}^{1}H_{34}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{28}Si_1$: *m/z* calcd, 304.3360; measd, 304.3356. 11 B NMR (CD₂Cl₂, ppm, J = Hz): 23.6 (s, 1, B6), 20.4 (s, 1, B9), 8.4 (d, 2, B1,3, J 146), −2.1 (d, 2, B5,7 or B8,10, J 176), −3.6 (d, 2, B5,7 or B8,10, J 214), −35.3 (d, 2, B2 or B4, J 138), −35.3 (d, 2, B2 or B4, J 138), -36.4 (d, 2, B2 or B4, J 138). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, ppm, J = Hz): 6.25 (td, 1, $=$ CH, J 6), 5.82 (d, 1, $=$ CH, J 18), 5.72 (m, 1, $=$ CH), 5.03 (m, 2, =CH), 2.69 (m, 2, CH₂), 1.63 (m, 2, CH₂), 0.87 (m, 2, CH₂), 0.63 (t, 2, CH₂, J 7), 0.05 (s, 9, CH₃), -1.46 (br, 2, BHB), −1.85 (br, 2, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 2953 (m), 2922 (m), 2569 (vs), 1975 (w), 1918 (w), 1715 (w), 1627 (m), 1525 (w), 1480 (m), 1453 (m), 1412 (m), 1337 (m), 1291 (m), 1248 (s), 1163 (m), 1126 (m), 1094 (m), 1024 (m), 998 (s), 962 (m), 913 (m), 861 (s), 835 (vs), 757 (m), 723 (m), 706 (m), 691 (m), 665 (m).

 $6\text{-}\{(\text{CH}_3)_3\}(\text{CH}_2)_3\}$ -B₁₀H₁₃ and acetylene. A 88 mL high pressure reaction vessel equipped with a Swagelok needle valve was charged under an inert atmosphere with $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ (0.159 g, 0.2 mmol), 6-{(CH3)3Si(CH2)3}-B10H13 (0.470 g, 1.99 mmol) and ∼4 mL of dry toluene. A 500 mL flask equipped with a high-vacuum Teflon stopcock was filled with purified acetylene at room temperature (∼6.22 atm, ∼20.1 mmol), and the acetylene then transferred to the high-pressure

reaction vessel. After the reaction was stirred in an oil bath at 45 $^{\circ}{\rm C}$ for 3 h, the reaction was stopped and exposed to air. 11 B NMR analysis showed complete conversion to 16. The reaction mixture was flashfiltered through a short silica gel column. Separation by silica gel column chromatography with hexanes as eluent gave 0.319 g (1.22

mmol, 61%) of 16.
6-{(CH₂)₃Si(CH₂)₃}-9-{CH₂==CH}-B₁₀H₁₂ (16). Solid. Exact mass $6\frac{(CH_3)_3Si(CH_2)_3j-9\frac{(CH_2=CH)^2B_{10}H_{12}}{16}$ (16). Solid. Exact mass $^{12}C_8^{1}H_{30}^{11}B_{10}^{14}Si_1$: *m/z* calcd, 264.3047; measd, 264.3047. ¹¹B NMR $(C_6D_6$ ppm, $J = Hz$): 24.5 (s, 1, B6), 19.6 (s, 1, B9), 7.9 (d, 2, B1,3, J 146), −2.3 (d, 2, B5,7 or B8,10, J 122), −3.4 (d, 2, B5,7 or B8,10, J 163), −36.3 (d, 2, B2,4, J 150). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, ppm, J = Hz): 6.13 $(m, 1, =CH)$, 5.70 $(m, 2, =CH)$, 1.61 $(m, 2, CH_2)$, 1.20 $(m, 2,$ $CH₂$), 0.62 (m, 2, CH₂), 0.05 (s, 9, CH₃), -1.54 (br, 2, BHB), -1.90 (br, 2, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 3068 (w), 2954 (m), 2922 (m), 2892 (w), 2573 (vs), 1971 (w), 1913 (w), 1814 (w), 1710 (w), 1608 (w), 1527 (w), 1480 (m), 1413 (m), 1339 (w), 1288 (w), 1248 (s), 1164 (m), 1113 (m), 1025 (w), 997 (s), 957 (m), 902 (w), 861 (s), 835 (vs), 758 (w), 723 (m), 706 (m), 690 (m).

6-{CH₃(CH₂)₄}-B₁₀H₁₃ and Acetylene. $[Cp*TrCl_2]_2$ (0.10 g, 0.13 mmol), acetylene (500 mL, 6.22 atm, 20.1 mmol), $6-\{CH_3(CH_2)_4\}$ $B_{10}H_{13}$ (0.289 g, 1.5 mmol), 45 °C, 3 h. Yield: 69% (0.227 g, 1.04

mmol) of 17.
6-{CH₃(CH₂)₄}-9-{CH₂= CH}-B₁₀H₁₂ (17). Oil. Exact mass $6\text{-}\{CH_3(CH_2)_4\}\text{-}9\text{-}\{CH_2=CH\}\text{-}B_{10}H_{12}$ (17). Oil. Exact mass $^{12}C_7^{1}H_{26}^{11}B_{10}$: m/z calcd, 220.2965; measd, 220.2968. ¹¹B NMR $(C_6D_6$ ppm, J = Hz): 24.4 (s, 1, B6), 19.5 (s, 1, B9), 8.7 (d, 2, B1,3, J 146), −2.1 (d, 4, B5,7 or B8,10, J 136), −3.1 (d, 4, B5,7 or B8,10, J 118), −35.9 (d, 2, B2,4, J 164). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, ppm, J = Hz): 6.13 $(m, 1, =CH)$, 5.70 $(m, 2, =CH)$, 1.28 $(m, 8, CH_2)$, 0.89 $(m, 3,$ CH₃), −1.53 (br, 2, BHB), −1.95 (br, 2, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm⁻¹): 3069 (w), 2959 (m), 2927 (s), 2859 (m), 2572 (vs), 1971 (w), 1916 (w), 1713 (m), 1608 (w), 1525 (m), 1479 (m), 1466 (m), 1412 (m), 1285 (m), 1221 (w), 1102 (m), 1076 (w), 996 (s), 953 (m), 903 (w), 835 (w), 802 (w), 777 (w), 722 (m), 706 (m), 681 (m).

NMR Studies of Catalyzed Decaborane Alkyne-Hydrobora**tion Reactions.** [RuCl₂(p-cymene)]₂. A round bottomed flask was charged with $[RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ (0.153 g, 0.25 mmol) and decaborane $(0.03 \text{ g}, 0.25 \text{ mmol})$ in CDCl₃. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the mixture taken after 5 min showed the growth of a peak at −7 ppm. Next, 0.1 mL (0.68 mmol) of 1-octyne was added to the reaction, and the mixture stirred at 45 °C. After 15 min, ¹H NMR analysis showed a new peak at −10 ppm in addition to the peak at −7 ppm. After 3 h, the ¹H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture showed only the peak at −10 ppm. ¹¹B NMR analysis indicated that the reaction had proceeded normally.

 $[Cp*lrC_2]_2$. A round bottomed flask was charged with $[Cp*lrC_2]_2$ (0.200 g, 0.25 mmol) and decaborane (0.03 g, 0.25 mmol) in CDCl₃. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the mixture after 5 min, contained no peaks in the −5 to −10 ppm range. 1-Octyne (0.11 mL, 0.75 mmol) was then added, and the mixture stirred at 45 °C. After 30 min, $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis showed a peak near −7 ppm. 11B NMR analysis indicated the reaction to be complete at this point.

 $[Rul_2(p-cymene)]_2$. A round bottomed flask was charged with $[Rul_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ (0.245 g, 0.25 mmol) and decaborane (0.03 g, 0.25 mmol) in CDCl_3 . The ¹H NMR spectrum of the mixture after 5 min showed no peaks in the -5 to -10 ppm range. 1-Octyne (0.1 mL, 0.68 mmol) was then added, and the mixture stirred at 45 °C. Over the next 24 h, ¹H NMR analysis showed no peaks appearing in the −5 to −10 ppm range. 11B NMR analysis indicated that the reaction had proceeded normally and was complete at the end of 24 h.

Iridium-Catalyzed 6-Alkenyl-decaborane Hydroboration Re**actions. 16** and 6-{ (CH_3) ₃Si(CH₂)₃}-B₁₀H₁₃. [Cp*IrCl₂]₂ (0.05 g, 0.06 mmol), 16 (0.138 g, 0.53 mmol), $6\frac{({\rm CH}_3)}{3}$ Si $({\rm CH}_2)_3$ B₁₀H₁₃ (0.126 g, 0.53 mmol), toluene, 45 °C, 10 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Recrystallized from toluene. Yield: 15% (0.04 g, 0.08 mmol) of 18.

6,6'-{(CH₃)₃Si(CH₂)₃}₂-9,9'-(CH₂)₂-(B₁₀H₁₂)₂ (18). Solid. Decomposed without melting. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{14}H_{58}B_{20}Si_2$: C, 33.70; H, 11.72. Found: C, 33.82; H, 11.55. Exact mass ${}^{12}C_{14}{}^{1}H_{58}{}^{11}B_{20}{}^{14}Si_2$: m/z calcd, 502.5938; measd, 502.5956. ¹¹B NMR (C_6D_6 ppm, $J = Hz$): 24.1 (s, 2, B6,6′,9,9′), 9.1 (d, 2, B1,1′,3,3′, J 141), −2.2 (d, 4,

B5,5′,7,7′,8,8′,10,10′, J 154), −36.6 (d, 2, B2,2′,4,4′, J 152). ¹H NMR $(C_6D_6$ ppm, $J = Hz$): 1.67 (m, 4, CH₂), 1.43 (s, 4, CH₂), 1.34 (m, 4, CH₂), 0.64 (m, 4, CH₂), 0.06 (s, 18, CH₃). IR (KBr plates, cm⁻¹): 2952 (w), 2920 (m), 2895 (w), 2884 (w), 2576 (vs), 2564 (s), 2549 (s), 2518 (s), 1518 (w), 1479 (m), 1450 (w), 1417 (w), 1338 (w), 1248 (m), 1211 (w), 1165 (w), 1126 (w), 1092 (w), 1078 (w), 1056 (w), 1025 (w), 999 (w), 982 (w), 960 (m), 935 (w), 922 (w), 905 (w), 863 (m), 832 (s), 784 (w), 758 (w), 730 (w), 709 (w), 688 (w), 669 (w).

17 and 6-{CH₃(CH₂)₄}-B₁₀H₁₃. [Cp^{*}IrCl₂]₂ (0.035 g, 0.04 mmol), 17 (0.10 g, 0.46 mmol), $6\text{-} \{\text{H}_3\text{C}(\text{CH}_2)_4\}\text{-} \text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{13}$ (0.10 g, 0.52 mmol), 45 °C, 10 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Recrystallized from toluene. Yield: 22% (0.04 g, 0.10 mmol) of 19.

6,6′-{CH₃(CH₂)₄}₂-9,9′-(CH₂)₂-(B₁₀H₁₂)₂ (19). Solid. mp 148–149 °C. Anal. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{50}B_{20}$: C, 35.09; H, 12.27. Found: C, 34.85; H, 12.39. Exact mass ${}^{12}C_{12}{}^{1}H_{50}{}^{11}B_{20}$: m/z calcd, 414.5774; measd, 414.5766. ¹¹B NMR (C_6D_6 , ppm, J = Hz): 24.0 (s, 4, B6,6',9,9'), 8.7 (d, 4, B1,1′,3,3′, J 141), −2.5 (d, 8, B5,5′,7,7′,8,8′,10,10′, J 102), -36.9 (d, 4, B2,2',4,4', J 151). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, ppm, J = Hz): 1.45 (s, 4, CH2), 1.30 (m, 16, CH2), 0.93 (m, 6, CH3), −1.85 (br, 8, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 2954 (m), 2927 (s), 2870 (w), 2859 (w), 2566 (vs), 2512 (s), 1518 (w), 1481 (w), 1465 (w), 1457 (w), 1210 (w), 1123 (w), 1096 (m), 1050 (w), 997 (m), 960 (w), 937 (w), 905 (w), 894 (w), 834 (w), 806 (w), 764 (w), 721 (w), 706 (w).

Ruthenium-Catalyzed 6-Alkenyl-decaborane Metathesis Reactions. 16 Homometathesis. In a drybox, a two-neck round-bottom flask equipped with a septum, stirbar, and vacuum adapter was charged with Grubbs' II catalyst (0.02 g, 0.02 mmol). The flask was sealed, taken out of the box, and slightly evacuated. A solution of 16 (0.187 g, 0.71 mmol) in ∼2 mL of dry toluene was then added through the septum. The reaction mixture was submerged in a 45 °C oil bath and stirred for 48 h. Column chromatography with hexanes afforded 0.167 g (0.34 mmol, 48%) of 20 as a white solid.

6,6'-{(CH₃)₃Si(CH₂)₃}₂-9,9'-(CH=CH)-{B₁₀H₁₂}₂ (20). Solid. Decomposed without melting. Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{56}B_{20}Si_2$: C, 33.83; H, 11.36. Found: C, 34.44; H, 10.88. Exact mass ${}^{12}C_{14}{}^{1}H_{56}{}^{11}B_{20}{}^{14}Si_2$: m/z calcd, 500.5782; measd, 500.5955. ¹¹B NMR (C_6D_6 , ppm, $J = Hz$): 23.3 (s, 2, B6,6′), 18.3 (s, 2, B9,9′), 7.7 (d, 4, B1,1′,3,3′, J 140), −3.5 (br, 8, B5,5′,7,7′,8,8′,10,10′), 36.7 (d, 2, B2,2′,4,4′, J 140). ¹ H NMR $(C_6D_6$ ppm, J = Hz): 6.75 (s, 2, = CH), 1.68 (m, 4, CH₂), 1.33 (m, 4, CH₂), 0.66 (m, 4, CH₂), 0.08 (s, 18, CH₃), -1.50 (br, 2, BHB), -1.77 (br, 2, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 3210 (m, br), 2952 (m), 2921 (m), 2884 (w), 2794 (w), 2568 (vs), 2521 (s), 1517 (m), 1476 (s), 1451 (m), 1416 (m), 1338 (w), 1292 (w), 1248 (s), 1165 (w), 1147 (w), 1127 (w), 1106 (w), 1077 (w), 1057 (w), 1024 (w), 989 (m), 959 (m), 936 (w), 905 (m), 863 (s), 832 (s), 757 (w), 710 (m), 692 (w), 678 (w).

16 and 1-Pentene. In a drybox, a two-neck round-bottom flask equipped with a septum, stirbar, and vacuum adapter was charged with Grubbs' II catalyst (0.04 g, 0.05 mmol). The flask was sealed, taken out of the box, and slightly evacuated. A solution of 1-pentene (1.14 mL, 10.42 mmol), 16 (0.275 g, 1.05 mmol) in ∼2 mL of dry toluene was then added through the septum. The reaction mixture was submerged in a 45 °C oil bath and stirred for 48 h. Column chromatography with hexanes afforded 0.163 g (0.54 mmol, 51%) of 21.

6-{(CH₃)₃Si(CH₂)₃}-9-{CH₃(CH₂)₂CH=CH}-B₁₀H₁₂ (21). Oil. Exact mass ${}^{12}C_{11}{}^{1}H_{36}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{14}Si_1$: m/z calcd, 306.3517; measd, 306.3510. ${}^{11}B$ NMR $(C_6D_6$, ppm): 22.9 (s, 1, B6), 20.1 (s, 1, B9), 7.8 (d, 2, B1,3, J 140), −2.6 (d, 2, B5,7 or B8,10, J 158), −4.1 (d, 2, B5,7 or B8,10, J 246), −35.7 (d, 1, B2 or B4, J 157), −36.9 (d, 1, B2 or B4, J 154). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 ppm, J = Hz): 6.26 (td, 1, = CH, J 18, 6), 5.84 (d, 1, = CH, J 18), 2.00 (m, 2, CH₂), 1.62 (m, 2, CH₂), 1.29 (m, 4, CH₂), 0.88 (m, 3, CH₂), 0.63 (t, 2, CH₂, J 8), 0.05 (s, 9, CH₃), -1.31 (br, 2, BHB), −1.83 (br, 2, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 2957 (s), 2925 (s), 2874 (m), 2571 (vs), 1975 (w), 1925 (w), 1713 (m), 1630 (m), 1525 (w), 1481 (m), 1464 (m), 1412 (m), 1338 (w), 1278 (m), 1248 (s), 1163 (w), 1128 (m), 1095 (w), 1074 (w), 1025 (w), 998 (s), 981 (m), 961 (m), 935 (w), 906 (w), 861 (s), 835 (vs), 757 (w), 739 (w), 724 (w), 704 (m), 690 (m), 669 (w).

Table 1. Crystallographic Data Collection and Structure Refinement Information

Table 1. continued

16 and 6-Bromohexene. Grubbs' II catalyst (0.03 g, 0.04 mmol), 16 (0.175 g, 0.67 mmol), 6-bromohexene (0.9 mL, 6.73 mmol), toluene, 45 °C, 48 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Yield: 48% (0.127 g, 0.32 mmol) of 22.

6-{(CH₃)₃Si(CH₂)₃}-9-{Br(CH₂)₄CH= CH }-B₁₀H₁₂ (**22**). Oil. Exact mass ${}^{12}C_{12}{}^{1}H_{37}{}^{11}B_{10}Br_1{}^{14}Si_1$: *m/z* calcd, 398.2778; measd, 398.2759.
¹¹B NMR (CD₂Cl₂, ppm, 160.5 MHz, J = Hz): 23.5 (s, 1, B6), 19.9 (s, 1, B9), 7.0 (d, 2, B1,3, J 143), −2.7 (d, 2, B5,7 or B8,10, J 142), −5.0 (d, 2, B5,7 or B8,10, J 159), −36.3 (d, 1, B2 or B4, J 148), −37.2 (d, 1, B2 or B4, J 140). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, ppm, 500 MHz): 6.34 (td, 1, $=$ CH, J 18, 7), 5.96 (d, 1, = CH, J 18), 3.43 (t, 2, CH₂, J 7), 2.22 (m, 2, CH₂), 1.51 (m, 8, CH₂), 0.62 (t, 2, CH₂, J 9), 0.01 (s, 9, CH₃), -1.06 (br, 2, BHB), −1.45 (br, 2, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 3227 (w, br), 3004 (m), 2958 (vs), 2934 (vs), 2856 (s), 2569 (s), 1978 (w), 1927 (w), 1725 (m), 1668 (w), 1630 (w), 1600 (w), 1580 (w), 1454 (s), 1437 (s), 1359 (w), 1337 (w), 1278 (s), 1249 (vs), 1200 (w), 1163 (w), 1125 (w), 1074 (w), 1028 (w), 997 (m), 968 (vs), 861 (m), 836 (s), 738 (m), 706 (w), 692 (w), 646 (m), 562 (m).

16 and Allyltrimethylsilane. Grubbs' II catalyst (0.04 g, 0.05 mmol), 16 (0.267 g, 1.02 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (1.66 mL, 10.44 mmol), toluene, 45 °C, 48 h. Silica gel chromatography with hexanes. Yield: 47% (0.167 g, 0.48 mmol) of 23.

6-{(CH₃)₃Si(CH₂)₃}-9-{(CH₃)₃SiCH₂CH= CH }-B₁₀H₁₂ (23). Oily Solid. Exact mass ${}^{12}C_{12}{}^{1}H_{40}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{14}Si_2$: *m/z* calcd, 350.3599; measd, 350.3589. ¹¹B NMR (C_6D_6 ppm, J = Hz): 23.4 (s, 1, B9), 21.6 (s, 1, B6), 8.2 (d, 2, B1,3, J 143), −1.7 (d, 2, B5,7 or B8,10, J 125), −4.4 (d, 2, B5,7 or B8,10, J 125), −35.1 (d, 1, B2 or B4, J 183), −36.5 (d, 1, B2 or B4, J 179). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 ppm, J = Hz): 6.33 (td, 1, = CH, J 17, 8), 5.76 $(d, 1, =CH, J 17)$, 1.58 (m, 4, CH₂), 1.18 (m, 2, CH₂), 0.59 (m, 2, CH₂), 0.04 (s, 18, CH₃), -1.27 (br, 2, BHB), -1.86 (br, 2, BHB). IR (KBr plates, cm[−]¹): 3213 (vs, br), 2954 (m), 2923 (w), 2890 (w), 2570 (s), 2258 (w), 1615 (m), 1453 (vs), 1417 (vs), 1277 (w), 1248 (s), 1198 (m), 1163 (w), 1123 (w), 1097 (w), 1023 (w), 998 (m), 961 (w), 934 (w), 905 (w), 856 (s), 836 (s), 762 (s), 750 (s), 726 (m), 704 (m), 692 (m), 548 (w).

Computational Studies. DFT/GIAO calculations using the Gaussian 03 package⁵ were carried out as previously described.⁶ The geometries were fully optimized at the B3LYP/6-311G* level within the specified symm[et](#page-11-0)ry constraints (using the standard basi[s](#page-11-0) sets included). A vibrational frequency analysis was carried out on each optimized geometry at the B3LYP/6-311G* level with a true minimum found for each structure (i.e., possessing no imaginary frequencies). The NMR chemical shifts were calculated at the B3LYP/ 6-311G* level using the GIAO option within Gaussian 03. 11 B NMR GIAO chemical shifts are referenced to BF_3 ·OEt₂.

Crystallographic Data. All crystals were grown by slow evaporation from *n*-pentane, *n*-heptane, or toluene.

Collection and Reduction of the Data. Crystallographic data and structure refinement information are summarized in Table 1. The X-ray intensity data for 6,9-(E-(CH₃)₃SiCH=CH)₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (2, UPenn 3360) and 6,9-[H₂C=C{CH₂(C₆H₁₁)}]₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (10, UPenn 3342) were collected on a Rigaku Mercury CCD and the data for [6,](#page-4-0)9-(E- $Br(CH_2)_2CH=CH)_2-B_{10}H_{12}$ (4, UPenn 3420), 6-{(CH₃)₃Si(CH₂)₃}-9-{3-HC≡C−C₆H₄-1-CH=CH}-B₁₀H₁₂ (14, UPenn 3422), 6,6′- ${({\rm CH}_3)_3\rm Si({\rm CH}_2)_3}_2$ -9,9′-(CH₂)₂-(B₁₀H₁₂)₂ (18, UPenn 3391), 6,6′- $(CH_3(CH_2)_4)_2$ -9,9′-(CH₂)₂-(B₁₀H₁₂)₂ (19, UPenn 3378) and 6,6′- ${({\rm CH}_3)_3\rm Si({\rm CH}_2)_3}_2$ -9,9′-(CH=CH)-(B₁₀H₁₂)₂ (20, UPenn 3376) were collected on a Bruker APEXII CCD. Rotation frames for 2 and 10 were integrated using CrystalClear, 7 producing a list of unaveraged

 F^2 and $\sigma(F^2)$ values, which were then passed to the CrystalStructure 8 program package for further processing and structure solution. Rotation frames for 4, 14, and 18−20 were integrated usin[g](#page-11-0) SAINT,⁹ producing a listing of unaveraged F^2 and $\sigma(F^2)$ values that were then passed to the SHELXTL¹⁰ program package for further process[in](#page-11-0)g and structure solution. Intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and [for](#page-11-0) absorption.

Solution and Refinement of the Structures. The structures were solved by direct methods $(SIR97¹¹$ for 2 and 10 and SHELXS- 97^{12} for 4, 14, and 11-20). Refinement was by full-matrix leastsquares based on F^2 using SHELXL[-97](#page-11-0). All reflections were used d[urin](#page-11-0)g refinement (values of F^2 that were experimentally negative were replaced with $F^2 = 0$).

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Complexes such as IrCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂ and RhH(CO)(PPh₃)₃, which we previously found¹ to be active for inducing alkynehydroborations by polyboranes and borazine, proved to be unsuitable precatalysts for [u](#page-11-0)se with decaborane owing to the competing reactions of their dissociated phosphine ligands with decaborane to form $6.9-(Ph_3P)_2B_{10}H_{12}$ adducts.¹³ Thus, a potential decaborane alkyne-hydroboration precatalyst cannot contain dissociable, strongly basic ligands. As desc[rib](#page-11-0)ed below, we explored the use of two types of complexes that appeared to meet this requirement: $[Cp*IrCl₂]$, which we had previously shown^{1f} to catalyze alkyne-hydroborations with both smaller polyboranes and the o - and $m-C_2B_{10}H_{12}$ carboranes, and the $[RuX_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ $[RuX_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ $[RuX_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ arene-complexes, which Chang reported¹⁴ will catalyze the hydrosilylation of terminal alkynes when $X =$ Cl.

Iridium Catalyzed Decaborane Alkyne-Hydrobora**tions.** The $[Cp*IrCl_2]$, complex was found to be an effective precatalyst for decaborane alkyne-hydroboration of the terminal alkynes, 1-octyne, trimethylsilylacetylene, phenylacetylene, 4 bromo-1-butyne, 5-chloro-1-pentyne and acetylene (eq 1). In a

typical reaction, decaborane (0.98 mmol) was reacted with excess 1-octyne (2.98 mmol) and $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ (0.17 mmol) in toluene for 3 h at 45 °C to give an 83% isolated yield (0.81 mmol) of 6,9-dioctenyl-decaborane (1).

The best reaction in terms of both lowest catalyst loading and highest yield was observed with trimethylsilylacetylene, where reactions with only 4 mol % $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ gave a 90% isolated yield (\sim 29 turnovers per Ir) of 6,9-(E-Me₃SiCH= $\text{CH})_{2}$ -B₁₀H₁₂ (2) in 3 h at 45 °C. Reactions with phenylacetylene likewise gave excellent yields of 3 (87%), but required

higher catalyst loadings (28 mol % $[Cp*IrCl₂]$) to reach completion. Lower yields were obtained for the reactions with 4-bromo-1-butyne (4, 39%) and 5-chloro-1-pentyne (5, 37%).

The parent 6,9-divinyl-decaborane (6) was obtained from decaborane and acetylene in the presence of $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ by carrying out the reaction in a high pressure reactor vessel; however, the complete conversion of 2 mmol of decaborane to 6 at 45 °C could only be achieved by the addition of greater than a stoichiometric amount of iridium and by employing three 3-h reaction cycles, where each cycle involved adding both additional iridium complex and acetylene. The slow rate and poor yield (13%) of the reaction may be attributed to both catalyst decomposition, which was evidenced by the formation of colloidal metal particles during the reaction, and the limited solubility of acetylene in toluene.

In agreement with their density functional theory (DFT) optimized geometries and GIAO calculated NMR shifts (Supporting Information, Figures S1 and S2), the ¹¹B NMR spectra of 1−6 each consisted of four peaks in 2:4:2:2 ratios, [with the alkenyl-substituted B6,9 resonance](#page-11-0) appearing as a singlet near 19 ppm (see Figure 1A for the spectrum of 1). Consistent with their predicted $C_{2\nu}$ cage-symmetries, the ¹H NMR spectra of 1−6 each showed only one bridge-hydrogen resonance near −1.3 ppm (see Supporting Information, Figure S3 for the spectrum of 1). The olefinic-hydrogens of 1−5 appeared as ABX_2 multiplets wi[th doublet coupling constants \(](#page-11-0)*J*

 $~\sim$ 18−21 Hz) between the two protons characteristic of β-E olefins.¹⁵

The crystallographically determined structures of 6,9-(E- $Me₃SiCH=CH)₂ - B₁₀H₁₂$ $Me₃SiCH=CH)₂ - B₁₀H₁₂$ $Me₃SiCH=CH)₂ - B₁₀H₁₂$ 2 (Figure 2, top) and 6,9-(E-

Figure 2. ORTEP drawings of the crystallographically determined structures of 2 (top), 4 (middle) and 10 (bottom). Selected bond lengths (A) and angles (deg) : (2) C1=C2, 1.307 (3) ; B6-C1, 1.557(3); C2−Si1, 1.867(2), B6−B5, 1.803(3); B6−B7, 1.799(3); B6−B2, 1.738(3); B6−C1=C2, 125.6(2); C1=C2−Si1, 127.71(19), C1−B6−B2, 133.86(18); (4) C1=C2, 1.304(5); C5=C6, 1.311(6), B6−C1, 1.550(5), B9−C5, 1.549(5), C2−C3, 1.507(5), C6−C7, 1.505(5), B6−B5, 1.807(5), B6−B7, 1.808(6), B9−B8, 1.806(6), B9− B10, 1.807(6), B6–B2, 1.733(5), B9–B4, 1.733(5), B6–C1=C2, 125.8(3), C1=C2−C3, 124.8(3), B9−C5=C6, 126.6(4), C5=C6− C7, 124.2(5), C1−B6−B2, 130.2(3), C5−B9−B4, 130.5(3); (10) C1=C2, 1.329(2); B6–C1, 1.563(2); C10=C11, 1.328(2); B9–C10, 1.568(2); B5−B6, 1.802(2); B6−B7, 1.806(2); B8−B9, 1.816(2); B9− B10; 1.803(2); C2=C1–C3, 120.91(13); C2=C1–B6, 119.65(13); C3−C1−B6, 119.42(11); C11=C10−C12, 121.28(13); C11=C10− B9, 120.09(13); C12−C10−B9, 118.62(12), C1−B6−B2, 134.14(11), C10−B9−B4, 133.38(11).

 $Br(CH_2)_2CH=CH)_2-B_{10}H_{12}$ 4 (Figure 2, middle) confirmed this conclusion. In these compounds, the (CH_3) ₃Si- and $Br(CH_2)_2$ - groups are positioned trans to the decaborane-cage, with both compounds showing the expected $C=C$ bond lengths $(2, C1=C2, 1.307(3)$ Å; 4, C1=C2, 1.304(5) Å, C5= C6, 1.311(6) Å) and bond angles $(2, B6-C1=C2, 125.6(2)°)$ C1=C2−Si1, 127.71(19)[°]; 4, B6−C1=C2, 125.8(3)[°], C1= C2−C3, 124.8(3)°, B9−C5=C6, 126.6(4)°, C5=C6−C7, $124.2(5)°$) for their olefinic substituents.

The B6−C1 (2, 1.557(3) Å, 4, 1.550(5) Å) and B9−C5 (4, 1.549(5) Å) bond lengths are shorter than the B−C lengths (∼1.57 Å) observed in 6-alkyl-decaboranes and 6,9-dialkyldecaboranes,^{2b,e,16} suggesting interaction of their olefinic π systems with π -acidic orbitals on B6 and B9 that are oriented Figure 1. ¹¹B NMR spectra for 1 (A), 7 (B), 16 (C), and 18 (D). perpendicula[r to t](#page-11-0)he olefinic plane. We had previously noted¹¹

similar differences in the corresponding B−C bond lengths in the structures of the 7-alkyl-*arachno*- $C_2B_7H_{12}$ and 7-alkenylarachno-C₂B₇H₁₂ carboranes as evidence of olefin-cage π bonding. Likewise, π -interactions involving partial donation of the amino-nitrogen lone pair to the cage B9-boron in the 6- CIC_6H_4 -9-(RR'N)-nido-6-NB₉H₁₀ azaboranes has previously been proposed.¹⁷ The remainder of the intracage distances and angles in 2 and 4 are similar to those previously reported for 6,9-dialkyl-d[ec](#page-11-0)aboranes.^{2b,e,16}

Ruthenium Catalyzed Decaborane Alkyne-Hydrobo**rations.** The ruthenium [comp](#page-11-0)lex $[\text{Ru}(p\text{-cymene})\text{Cl}_2]_2$ also catalyzed decaborane alkyne-hydroborations, but with slower rates than the $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ complex (eq 2).

For example, a 3 h reaction of decaborane (1.98 mmol) with excess 1-octyne (5.97 mmol) and $[\text{RuCl}_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ (0.21 mmol) at 45 \degree C gave a 42% yield (0.71 mmol) of 6-(E- $CH₃(CH₂)₅CH=CH)-B₁₀H₁₃$ (7) along with an 8% yield (0.14 mmol) of 6,9-(E-CH₃(CH₂)₅CH=CH)₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (1). It was necessary to use a 40 mol % catalyst loading to achieve complete conversion to 1. Consistent with its expected C_s cagesymmetry, the ¹¹B NMR spectrum (Figure 1B) of the monosubstituted derivative 7 exhibited seven resonances in 1:2:1:2:2:1:1 ratios, with the singlet resonance ari[sin](#page-6-0)g from the alkenyl-substituted B6-boron occurring near 21.9 ppm, in excellent agreement with the DFT/GIAO calculated shifts (Supporting Information, Figure S4). In its ¹H NMR spectrum (Supporting Information, Figure S5), 7 had two sets of bridge[hydrogen resonances, and the ole](#page-11-0)finic-hydrogen resonances [again exhibited characteristic](#page-11-0) $β$ -E coupling constants.

The $\left[\text{Rul}_2(p\text{-cymene})\right]_2$ complex proved to be even more active for alkyne-hydroborations than either $\left[\text{RuCl}_{2}(p\text{-cym-}]\right]$ ene)]₂ or $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ (eq 3). For example, the 3-h 45 °C

reaction of decaborane (1.97 mmol) with excess 1-octyne (5.97 mmol) in the presence of 0.10 mmol of $[Rul₂(p-cymene)]₂$ produced a 95% isolated yield (1.87 mmol) of 6,9-dioctenyldecaborane 8. This yield corresponds to ∼19 catalyst turnovers per Ru, compared to the >5 catalyst turnovers observed for comparable reactions with $[RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ and $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂, respectively. When a lower (1.5 mol %) catalyst loading and a 1:2 alkyne:decaborane ratio were used, 6-octenyldecaborane 9 was obtained as the major product.

The ¹¹B NMR spectra of 8 and 9 were nearly identical to those of 1 and 7, respectively; however, the olefinic-hydrogen patterns observed in their ¹H NMR spectra were quite different than those of 1 and 7. Thus, as shown in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 9 in Supporting Information, Figure S6 the two olefinic-hydrogens appeared as two overlapping singlets near 5.44 ppm suggesting the formation of α -olefin isomers.

Reactions of de[caborane](#page-11-0) [with](#page-11-0) [3-cyclohexylpropyne,](#page-11-0) [4](#page-11-0)-bromo-1-butyne and 5-chloro-1-pentyne in the presence of $\lceil \text{RuI}_2(p-1)\rceil$ cymene) $]_2$ produced the corresponding 6,9-alkenyl-derivatives 10, 11, and 12 in 55%, 67%, and 90% isolated yields, respectively, with the ¹H NMR spectrum of each compound (see Supporting Information, Figure S7 for the spectrum of 10) again indicating α -isomer formation. The crystallographic determination of 6,9-[H₂C=C{CH₂(C₆H₁₁)}]₂-B₁₀H₁₂ (10) shown in Figure 2C confirmed this conclusion with both the $-CH_2(C_6H_{11})$ and $-B_{10}H_{12}$ groups attached to the β -carbon. The C1= $C2$ a[nd](#page-6-0) C10= $C11$ bond lengths $(1.329(2)$ and 1.328(2) Å) and the bond angles around C1, C2, C10, and C11 (see Figure 2 caption) are all consistent with olefinic values. As in 2 and 4, the B6–C1 $(1.563(2)$ Å) length was again found to be shorter t[ha](#page-6-0)n the corresponding B−C lengths in 6-alkyl and 6,9-dialkyl-decaboranes.^{2b,e,16}

Iridium Catalyzed 6-Alkyl-Decaborane Alkyne-Hydro**borations.** The 6-al[kyl-dec](#page-11-0)aboranes, $6\cdot\{(\text{CH}_3)_3\}$. $B_{10}H_{13}$ and 6-{CH₃(CH₂)₄}- $B_{10}H_{13}$, which were synthesized via the titanium catalyzed hydroboration reactions of the corresponding olefins with decaborane,^{2d,e} were also found to hydroborate alkynes in the presence of $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ (eq 4). The

reactions of $6\text{-}\{(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{Si}(\text{CH}_2)_3\}\text{-B}_{10}\text{H}_{13}$ in toluene with phenylacetylene, 1,3-diethynylbenzene and 1-penten-4-yne in the presence of $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ produced 6-{ $(CH₃)₃Si(CH₂)₃$ }-9- $(E-C_6H_5CH=CH)$ -B₁₀H₁₂ (13), 6-{(CH₃)₃Si(CH₂)₃}-9-{E-3- $HC \equiv C - C_6H_4$ -1-CH=CH}-B₁₀H₁₂ (14) and 6-{(CH₃)₃Si- $(CH₂)₃$ -9-{E-H₂C=CHCH₂−CH=CH}-B₁₀H₁₂ (15) in 77%, 32% and 67% yields, while the reactions of 6- ${({\rm CH}_3)_3\rm Si({\rm CH}_2)_3}$ -B₁₀H₁₃ and 6-{CH₃(CH₂)₄}-B₁₀H₁₃ with acetylene in toluene at 45 °C for 3 h produced 6- $\{({\rm CH}_3)_3{\rm Si}({\rm CH}_2)_3\}$ -9-{CH₂=CH}-B₁₀H₁₂ (16) and 6- ${CH_3(CH_2)_4}$ -9-{CH₂=CH}-B₁₀H₁₂ (17) in 61% and 69%

yields, respectively.
As can be seen in the spectrum of 16 given in Figure 1C, the ¹¹B NMR spectra of 13-17 each showed only five peaks owing to overlap of the resonances of B1 with B3, B5,7 wit[h B](#page-6-0)8,10, and B2 with B4. In agreement with the DFT/GIAO calculations (Supporting Information, Figure S8), the singlet

Figure 3. ORTEP drawing of the crystallographically determined structure of 14. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): B9−C7, 1.540(5); C7=C8, 1.317(4); B6−C1, 1.569(5); C1−C2, 1.523(5); B9−B8, 1.810(6), B9−B10, 1.809(6), B9−B4, 1.738(5), B6−C1, 1.570(5), B6−B5, 1.812(6), B6–B7, 1.803(6), B6–B2, 1.739(6), B9–C7=C8, 124.9(3); C7=C8–C9, 128.1(3); B6–C1–C2, 114.6(3); C1–C2–C3, 114.2(3), B10−B9−B8, 103.9(3); B5−B6−B7, 102.9(3), B10−B9−C7, 127.0(3), B8−B9−C7, 128.3(3), C7−B9−B4, 135.1(3), C1−B6−B2, 132.4(3).

resonance for the alkyl-substituted B6 was found in its characteristic position downfield (24.5 ppm) from that of the alkenyl-substituted B9 singlet (19.6 ppm). The ¹H NMR spectra of 16 and 17 each showed multiplet vinyl-resonances (near 6.1 and 5.7 ppm) in the same 1:2 ratio as 6, along with two broad, closely spaced bridge hydrogen resonances, again reflecting their C_s cage-symmetries. The ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectra of 13−15 each exhibited olefinic-hydrogen resonances with characteristic $β$ -E-olefin patterns and coupling constants.

The crystallographically determined structure of 14 shown in Figure 3 confirmed 6-alkyl and 9-alkenyl substitutions with the alkenyl-group adopting the β -E structure and a C7=C8 distance of $1.317(4)$ Å. As noted above for 2, 4 and 10, the cage to alkenyl-carbon distance (B9−C7, 1.540(5) Å) in 14 is shorter than the cage to alkyl-carbon distance (B6−C1, 1.570(5) Å) again consistent with olefin π donation into an orbital on B6.

Possible Reaction Pathways for the Iridium and Ruthenium Catalyzed Alkyne-Hydroborations. Consistent with either Chalk-Harrod $(CH)^{18}$ or modified Chalk-Harrod $(mCH)^{19}$ mechanisms, Chang proposed¹⁵ that the formation of vinylsilane products in th[e](#page-11-0) alkyne-hydrosilylation reactions with $[RuCl₂(p-cymene]₂$ involve in[iti](#page-11-0)al silane oxidative-addition to form a metal-hydride complex. Similar to the observations made by Chang for the alkyne-hydrosilylation reactions, a metal-hydride resonance near −7 ppm immediately appeared in the ¹H NMR spectrum (Supporting Information, Figure S10) of the reaction of a stoichiometric amount of decaborane with $[\text{RuCl}_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$. [Addition of](#page-11-0) [three equivalents of 1-oc](#page-11-0)tyne to this reaction then produced a new resonance in the metal-hydride region near −10 ppm. After $11B$ NMR analysis indicated the decaborane octynehydroboration reaction was complete, only the −10 ppm resonance remained (Supporting Information, Figure S11). Likewise, while no metal-hydride peaks were initially observed by ¹H NMR when a stoichiometric amount of the $\left[Cp^*IrCl_2\right]_2$ and decaborane were reacted, a peak near −7 ppm appeared 30 min after the addition of three equivalents of 1-octyne. Given

the experimental observations of metal-hydride resonances in the reactions of decaborane with both $[RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ and $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂, initial decaborane oxidative-addition can reasonably be proposed for the reactions with these complexes to yield, following either a hydride (CH) or decaboranyl (mCH) insertion step and final reductive-elimination, their β -E-alkenyldecaborane products. Tuttle et al. recently reported²⁰ that both $[RuX_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ $(X = Cl \text{ and } I)$ also catalyze olefinhydrosilylations and they used DFT calculations to [exp](#page-11-0)lore CH, mCH and σ -bond metathesis mechanistic pathways for these reactions. They concluded that a hydride Chalk-Harrod (hCH) mechanism was favored that, while still proceeding by initial silane oxidative-addition to form a $Ru(IV)$ -hydride complex, required a second reductive-elimination step to form a catalytically active $Ru(II)$ species, $RuHX(p$ -cymene). It is possible that such a Ru(II)-H species could also be involved in the decaborane reactions with $[RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$.

In contrast to the reactions with $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ and $[RuCl₂(p-1)]$ cymene)] $_2$, no metal-hydride resonances were observed by $^1\rm H$ NMR when $[Rul_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ was reacted with a stoichiometric amount of decaborane. Furthermore, also unlike with $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ and $[RuCl₂(p-cymene)]$ ₂, the reactions with $\left[\text{RuI}_2(p\text{-cymene})\right]_2$ yielded α -isomeric products. Both of these observations suggest that the reactions with $[Rul_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ occur by a different pathway. $Trost^{21}$ has previously observed α-vinylsilane formation with a proposed mechanism not involving metal-hydride species [in](#page-11-0) alkyne-hydrosilylation reactions employing the $\left[\textrm{CpRu}(\textrm{NCMe})_{3}\right]^{\dagger}\textrm{PF}_{6}^{-}$ and $\left[\textrm{Cp*Ru-}(\textrm{NCMe})_{3}\right]^{\dagger}$ $(NCMe)_3$ ⁺PF₆ cationic complexes. A reaction sequence for the decaborane alkyne-hydroboration reactions based on Trost's proposed pathway for alkyne-hydrosilylations is shown in Supporting Information, Figure S12. Initially, I[−] ions could dissociate from $\left[\text{RuI}_2(p\text{-cymene})\right]_2$ to form the 14-electron cationic $[RuI(p\text{-cymene})]$ ⁺ complex, which is the isoelectronic [analog](#page-11-0) [of](#page-11-0) [the](#page-11-0) $[CpRu(NCMe)]^{+}$ $[CpRu(NCMe)]^{+}$ cation that is proposed to be active for hydrosilylations. Following coordination of the alkyne, the $[\text{Rul}(p\text{-cymene})(\eta^2\text{-RC}\text{ }\equiv\text{CH})]^+$ complex could then, in a process analogous to that proposed for [CpRu-

 $(NCMe)(\eta^2\text{-}RC\text{ }\equiv\text{CH})$]⁺, undergo oxidative-addition by a process involving concerted B−H addition across the Ru-CH bond with, as proposed for the corresponding hydrosilylation reactions, initial H addition to the CH carbon being favored over decaboranyl addition. This addition could yield either metalla-cycloalkene or vinylruthenium intermediates that could then undergo reductive-elimination to produce the α -isomeric product. If this mechanism is operable for the reactions with $\left[\text{Rul}_2(p\text{-cymene})\right]_2$, then the difference in the mechanistic pathways for the $[Rul_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ and $[Rul_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ complexes could simply arise from the weaker Ru−I versus Ru−Cl bond that would enable the more facile dissociation of the I[−] ion to produce the required $\lceil \text{RuI}(p\text{-cymene}) \rceil^+$ cation. Clearly more extensive mechanistic and computational studies will be required to clarify these differences.

Iridium Catalyzed Hydroborations of the 6-Alkyl-9- **Vinyl-Decaboranes.** While $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ proved to be inactive for inducing the hydroboration of simple olefins, such as 1 pentene, by either decaborane or the 6-alkyl-decaboranes, it was found to catalyze the hydroboration of 6-alkyl-9-vinyldecaboranes by 6-alkyl-decaboranes to yield linked-cage products (eq 5).

Hydroboration of 16 by 6-{ $(CH_3)_3Si(CH_2)_3$ }-B₁₀H₁₃ in the presence of $[Cp*IrCl₂]$ ₂ produced 6,6'-{ $(CH₃)_3Si(CH₂)_3$ }₂-9,9'-(CH₂)₂-(B₁₀H₁₂)₂ (18) in a 15% isolated yield, while hydroboration of 17 by 6-{ $CH₃(CH₂)₄$ }-B₁₀H₁₃ formed 6,6[']- ${C}H_3(CH_2)_4{}_2$ -9,9′- $(CH_2)_2$ - $(B_{10}H_{12})_2$ (19) in a ~20% isolated yield. Owing to the different groups at their B6 and B9 borons, 18 and 19 have C_s symmetries, but their 11 B NMR spectra each showed only four peaks instead of the expected seven resonances owing to the small shielding differences of the terminal-alkyl and bridging $-C_2H_4$ - groups. Likewise, their ${}^{1}\text{H}$ NMR spectra each showed only one broad bridge-hydrogen resonance, instead of the two resonances found in the spectra of the 6-alkyl-decaboranes.

The crystallographically determined structures of 18 (top) and 19 (middle) shown in Figure 4 confirmed the formation of -C2H4- linked coupled-cage compounds with the C11−C11′ distance $(1.536(5)$ Å) and C11′−C11−B9, 113.7(3)^o and C11′-C11-B9, 112.82(17)^o angl[es](#page-10-0) consistent with a saturated linking fragment. In both compounds, the alkyl-carbon to cageboron bonds (B9−C11, 1.575(4) Å (18) and B9−C12, 1.572 (5) Å (19)) are again in the expected ranges for B6 and B9 substituted alkyl-decaboranes.^{2b,e,16}

Ruthenium Catalyzed Metathesis Reactions of 16. We have previously shown that G[rubb](#page-11-0)'[s](#page-11-0) catalysts are highly effective for inducing metathesis reactions of polyboranes containing pendant olefin substituents, including homo- and crossmetathesis, RCM, ADMET and ROMP transformations.²² In all of the previously reported examples, an olefinic carbon was

not directly bonded to the cage (e.g., 6-(CH₂=CH(CH₂)₄)- $B_{10}H_{13}$ ^{19b}), but separated by at least one saturated carbon that insulated any possible olefin-cage π -interactions. As discussed earlier, [whe](#page-11-0)n an olefinic carbon is directly bonded to a cageboron, such as in 16, π donation to an acidic cage-boron orbital could reduce olefin π electron density and thereby reduce its metathesis reactivity. Nevertheless, it was found that 16 readily underwent both homo- and cross-metathesis reactions in the presence of Grubbs' II catalyst (eq 6).

Homometathesis to form $6.6'$ -{ $(CH₃)₃Si(CH₂)₃}₂$ -9,9'- $(CH=CH)$ - $\{B_{10}H_{12}\}$, 20 in a 48% isolated yield 16 was achieved by heating a toluene solution of 16 at 45 °C for 48 h in the presence of 2.8 mol % of Grubbs' II catalyst. The ^{11}B NMR spectrum of 20 is nearly identical to that of 16, with the singlets for the substituted B6 and B9 borons again occurring in the characteristic ranges for borons substituted with alkyl (B6, 23.3 ppm) and olefinic (B9, 18.3 ppm) substituents. The two equivalent olefinic-hydrogens appear in the ¹H NMR spectrum as a singlet at 6.75 ppm and, consistent with C_s cage-symmetry, the bridge-hydrogens appear as two broad upfield resonances.

The crystallographically determined structure of 20 shown in Figure 4 (bottom) confirmed that it is the first example of a coupled-decaborane compound linked by an unsaturated group. The de[ca](#page-10-0)borane cages are located in the trans positions with the bond lengths and angles of the linking group characteristic of olefinic carbons. The B9−C11 distance (1.558 (4) Å) is again in the range found for the other alkenyl B−C bonds; however, the olefinic $C11=C11'$ distance $(1.338(7)$ Å) in 20 is longer than those found in the monocage $β$ -E-alkenyldecaborane derivatives 2, 4, and 14, which range from only $1.304(5)$ to 1.317(4) Å. This difference is consistent with an increased olefin π cage-donation resulting from the interaction of the π system with the two cages in 20.

As depicted in eq 7, 16 underwent cross metathesis with 1 pentene, 6-bromo-1-hexene and allyltrimethylsilane in the

presence of Grubbs' II catalyst to form the $6\text{-}\{(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{Si}-\}$ $(CH₂)₃$ }-9-{RCH=CH}-B₁₀H₁₂ derivatives 21−23 in 51%, 48% and 47% isolated yields, respectively.

The $11B$ NMR spectra of 21−23 were nearly identical to that of 16 with the two singlet resonances appearing in their

Figure 4. Crystallographically determined structures of 18 (top), 19 (middle), and 20 (bottom). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): (18) C11−C11′, 1.536(5); B9−C11, 1.575(4); B6−C12, 1.575(4), B9−B4, 1.737(4); C11′−C11−B9, 113.8(3); B6−C12−C13, 114.1(2), C11−B9−B4, 130.9(2). (19) B9−C11, 1.573(2); B6−C12, 1.573(3); C11−C11′, 1.539(3), B9−B4, 1.731(3); C11−C11−B9, 112.81(17); C13−C12−B6, 114.17(15), C11−B9−B4, 129.95(15). (20) B9−C11, 1.558(4); B6−C12, 1.568(5); C11C11′, 1.338(7), B9−B4, 1.733(5); C11′C11−B9, 125.0(4); B6−C12−C13, 114.9(3), C11−B9−B4, 130.8(3).

characteristic downfield, (B6, ∼23 ppm) and upfield (B9, ∼20− 21 ppm) positions. The olefinic protons in their ¹H NMR spectra each appeared as a triplet of doublets and a doublet with coupling constants characteristic of a trans product. Crossmetathesis reactions with olefins, such as allylchloride or allylethylether, which were previously found²² to undergo cross-metathesis reactions with 6- $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{--}B_{10}\text{H}_{13}$, were unsuccessful, perhaps as a result of a de[cre](#page-11-0)ased electron density of the vinyl group in 16 compared to $6\text{-} (CH_2 =$ $CH(CH₂)₄$)-B₁₀H₁₃.

■ CONCLUSIONS

The iridium and ruthenium catalyzed decaborane and 6-alkyldecaborane alkyne-hydroboration reactions reported herein now provide the first synthetic routes to both the β -E- and the α -olefin isomers of the previously unknown 6-alkenyl-, 6,9dialkenyl-, and 6-alkyl-9-alkenyl-decaboranes in which the olefin group is directly bonded to a cage boron. That these compounds can be readily converted, with the aid of either iridium-catalyzed hydroboration or ruthenium-catalyzed homoand cross- metathesis reactions to high-boron content linkedcage compounds and/or functionalized decaboranes, demonstrates that these alkenyl-decaboranes are valuable new starting materials for the construction of more complex polyboranes and carboranes including, for example, high boron-content functionalized polyboranes for use in boron neutron capture therapy and new polymeric materials for optical, electronic, and/or structural applications. 23

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Figures of DFT optimized-geometries with GIAO calculated chemical shifts, tables of Cartesian-coordinates, selected NMR spectra, figures illustrating possible mechanistic pathways and crystallographic data for the structural determinations of 2, 4, 10, 14, and 18−20 (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORM[ATION](http://pubs.acs.org)

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: lsneddon@sas.upenn.edu.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:lsneddon@sas.upenn.edu) financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The National Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged both for the support of this research and for an instrumentation grant (CHE-0840438) that was used for the purchase of the Xray diffractometer employed in these studies.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Wilczynski, R.; Sneddon, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 2857−2858. (b) Wilczynski, R.; Sneddon, L. G. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 3955−3962. (c) Wilczynski, R.; Sneddon, L. G. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 506−514. (d) Lynch, A. T.; Sneddon, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5867−5868. (e) Mirabelli, M. G. L.; Sneddon, L. G. Organometallics 1986, 5, 1510−1511. (f) Mirabelli, M. G. L.; Sneddon, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 449−453. (g) Mirabelli, M. G. L.; Sneddon, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 592−597. (h) Lynch, A. T.; Sneddon, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6201− 6209. (i) Kadlecek, D.; Carroll, P. J.; Sneddon, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10868−10877. (j) Chatterjee, S.; Carroll, P. J.; Sneddon, L. G. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 3095−3097.

(2) (a) Davan, T.; Corcoran, E. W., Jr.; Sneddon, L. G. Organometallics 1983, 2, 1693−1694. (b) Mazighi, K.; Carroll, P. J.; Sneddon, L. G. Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 1963−1969. (c) Fazen, P. J.; Sneddon, L. G. Organometallics 1994, 13, 2867−2877. (d) Pender, M. J.; Wideman, T.; Carroll, P. J.; Sneddon, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 9108−9109. (e) Pender, M. J.; Carroll, P. J.; Sneddon, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 12222−12231.

(3) Shriver, D. F.; Drezdzon, M. A. Manipulation of Air-Sensitive Compounds, 2nd ed.; Wiley: New York, 1986.

(4) Stuchílk, J.; Heřmánek, S.; Plešek, J.; Štíbr, B. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1970, 35, 339−343.

(5) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 03, revision B.05; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 2003.

(6) Yoon, C. W.; Kusari, U.; Sneddon, L. G. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 9216−9227 , and references therein.

(7) CrystalClear; Rigaku Corporation: The Woodlands, TX, 1999.

(8) CrystalStructure: Crystal Structure Analysis Package; Rigaku Corp. Rigaku/MSC: The Woodlands, TX, 2002.

(9) SAINT, version 7.68A; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI.

(10) SHELXTL, version 6.14; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI.

(11) SIR97: Altomare, A.; Burla, M. C.; Camalli, M.; Cascarano, M.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A.; Moliterni, A.; Polidori, G. J.; Spagna, R.

J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1999, 32, 115−119.

(12) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112−122.

(13) Hawthorne, M. F.; Pitocelli, A. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 6685.

(14) Na, Y.; Chang, S. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1887−1889.

(15) Bovey, F. A. In Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy; Academic Press: New York, 1969.

(16) Bridges, A. N.; Powell, D. R.; Dopke, J. A.; Desper, J. M.; Gaines, D. F. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 503−509.

(17) (a) Roth, A.; Meyer, F.; Paetzold, P. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1997, 62, 1299−1309. (b) Paetzold, P. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 2, 143−154. (c) Li, Y.; Sneddon, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 11494−11502.

(18) Chalk, A. J.; Harrod, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 16−21. (19) (a) Onopchenko, A.; Sabourin, E. T.; Beach, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 5101−5105. (b) Ojima, I.; Fuchikami, T.; Yatabe, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 260, 335−346. (c) Randolph, C. L.; Wrighton, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3366−3374. (d) Seitz, F.; Wrighton, M. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 289−291.

(20) Tuttle, T.; Wang, D.; Theil, W.; Kö hler, J.; Hofmann, M.; Weis, J. Dalton Trans. 2009, 5894−5901.

(21) (a) Trost, B. M.; Ball, Z. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17644− 17655. (b) Chung, L. W.; Wu, Y.-D.; Trost, B. M.; Ball, Z. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11578−11582.

(22) (a) Wei, X.; Carroll, P. J.; Sneddon, L. G. Organometallics 2006, 25, 609−621. (b) Wei, X.; Carroll, P. J.; Sneddon, L. G. Organometallics 2004, 23, 163−165. (c) Wei, X.; Carroll, P. J.; Sneddon, L. G. Chem. Mater. 2006, 18, 1113−1123. (d) Guron, M. M.; Wei, X.; Carroll, P. J.; Sneddon, L. G. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 6139−6147.

(23) Boron Science: New Technologies and Applications; Hosmane, N. S., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2011.